A new factorization theory for heavy quarkonium production and decay

Yan-Qing Ma[1,2,3] and Kuang-Ta Chao[1,2,3]
[1] *School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
[2] *Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
[3] *Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China*
(Dated: March 27, 2017)

As a successful theory for heavy quarkonium, nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) factorization now encounters some notable difficulties in describing quarkonium production. This may be due to the inadequate treatment of soft hadrons emitted in the hadronization process, which causes bad convergence of velocity expansion in NRQCD. In this paper, starting from QCD we propose a new factorization approach, soft gluon factorization (SGF), to better deal with the effects of soft hadrons. With a careful velocity expansion, the SGF can be as simple as the NRQCD factorization in phenomenological studies, but has a much better velocity expansion convergence. The SGF may provide a new insight to understand the mechanisms of quarkonium production and decay.

PACS numbers: 12.39.St, 13.87.Fh, 14.40.Pq

arXiv:1703.08402v1 [hep-ph] 24 Mar 2017

Introduction—As the simplest bound state of strong interactions, heavy quarkonium is a perfect system to study both perturbative and nonperturbative physics of QCD. Ever since the discovery of the first heavy quarkonium J/ψ in 1974, a lot of efforts have been devoted to interpret the production and decay mechanisms of heavy quarkonium. Among them, the most notable theories include the color-singlet model [1–3] and the nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) factorization theory [4] [1].

The NRQCD factorization approach is successful. With the color-octet mechanism, NRQCD can solve the infrared divergence problem encountered in the CSM, explain the $\psi(2S)$ surplus [10], and describe the inclusive quarkonium production [11–22]. In addition, although there is still no convincing all-order proof of NRQCD factorization for quarkonium production, it was found that factorization may hold to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) if long-distance matrix elements (LDMEs) are modified to be gauge complete [23–25].

Nevertheless, studies in recent years have shown that NRQCD factorization in describing quarkonium production may encounter some notable difficulties. (1)Polarization puzzle: The leading order calculation in NRQCD implies that $\psi(nS)$ and $\Upsilon(nS)$ produced at hadron colliders are transversely polarized due to ${}^3S_1^{[8]}$ channel dominance [26–28]. But experimental measurements found these states almost unpolarized [29–33]. Thanks to next-to-leading order calculations [34–40], the observed polarizations of J/ψ and $\Upsilon(nS)$ can be qualitatively explained, but it is still hard to understand the polarization of $\psi(2S)$ [41]. (2) Hierarchy problem: The best fit of J/ψ yield data at high transverse momentum in hadronic collisions determines two linearly combined LDMEs, $M_0 = 0.074\text{GeV}^3$ and $M_1 = 0.0005\text{GeV}^3$ [20] (the J/ψ polarization data requires almost the same two combined LDMEs[36]). There is a two-orders difference between the two combined LDMEs. However, velocity scaling rules in NRQCD [4] expect these LDMEs to be at the same order of magnitude. (3) Universality problem: A necessary condition for NRQCD factorization is that LDMEs are universal, i.e. process independent. Yet phenomenology studies show that M_0 extracted from hadron colliders [20, 21, 37] is much larger than the upper bound set by e^+e^- collisions $M_0 < 0.02\text{GeV}^3$ [42].

In this paper, we show that the velocity expansion in the present NRQCD framework suffers from large high order corrections due to ignoring the momentum of soft hadrons emitted in the hadronization process. Thus, by including only a few low order contributions, NRQCD is hard to provide good descriptions for quarkonium physics. Starting from QCD, we propose a new factorization approach to better deal with the effects of soft hadrons. The new approach has a much better convergence in the velocity expansion, and it may provide a new insight to fully understand the mechanisms of quarkonium production and decay.

Factorization formula—Let us first consider the production of a heavy quarkonium H in a hard process. It is sufficient to assume that all hard scales are at the order of heavy quark mass m_Q, because if there is a much larger scale, we can use the collinear factorization [7–9] to factorize it out first. Besides the hard scale, there are also soft scales involved in the problem, including the typical momentum $m_Q\, v$ and energy $m_Q\, v^2$ of the heavy quark inside H, and the QCD nonperturbative scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. We assume $m_Q >> \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ so that it is possible to calculate the production of heavy quark-antiquark $(Q\bar{Q})$ pair perturbatively.

Based on the above assumption, to produce a H, it

[1] For quarkonium production only, there are two more well-established theories. One is the color-evaporation model [5, 6], which is a phenomenological model that may not be able to derive from first principles of QCD. The other is QCD collinear factorization [7–9], which is rigorous but can only describe high momentum quarkonium production.

is necessary to first produce a intermediate $Q\bar{Q}$ pair at a short distance, and then the pair evolves to H at a much larger space-time scale. We find that the integration of the relative momentum between the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair is pinched at a small region[43]. Thus, to keep only leading contributions, we can put both the Q and $\bar{Q}$ on mass shell in the short distance hard part, and express the H production in terms of soft gluon factorization (SGF)

$$(2\pi)^3 2P_H^0 \frac{d\sigma_H}{d^3P_H} = \sum_n \int \frac{d^4P}{(2\pi)^4} d\hat{\sigma}_n(P) F_n(P, P_H), \quad (1)$$

where momenta of emitted soft hadrons, which are mainly soft gluons perturbatively, are considered. As a result, in the SGF the momentum of the physical quarkonium P_H is different from the total momentum of the intermediate $Q\bar{Q}$ pair P, which is different from the treatment in NRQCD. Contributions induced by off-shellness of the $Q\bar{Q}$ pair can be combined with contributions from $Q\bar{Q}$+gluons as intermediate states to obtain gauge invariant power corrections [43], which we will not discuss in this paper.

In Eq. (1), $d\hat{\sigma}_n(P)$ are perturbatively calculable hard parts that produce a $Q\bar{Q}$ pair with quantum numbers n, and momenta $p_Q = P/2 + q$ and $p_{\bar{Q}} = P/2 - q$. Quantum numbers n can be expressed in terms of spectroscopic notation ${}^{2S+1}L_J^{[c]}$, with $c = 1, 8$ denotes color singlet or color octet of the pair. On-shell conditions result in $P \cdot q = 0$ and $q^2 = m_Q^2 - M^2/4$, with $M = \sqrt{P^2}$. Furthermore, partial wave expansion has been applied to the angular distribution of q in hard parts, and thus there is no q dependence in hard parts. $F_n(P, P_H)$, which we call soft gluon distributions (SGDs), are nonperturbative functions that describe the hadronization of $Q\bar{Q}$ pair to H. They can be defined as expectation values of bilocal operators in QCD vacuum,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(P, P_H) &= \int d^4x e^{iP\cdot x} \\ &\times \langle 0|\bar{\psi}(0)\Gamma'_n \Phi^\dagger(0)\psi(0) a_H a_H^+ \bar{\psi}(x)\Gamma_n \Phi(x)\psi(x)|0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where Γ and Γ' are color and angular momentum projection operators that define n, $\Phi(x)$ are gauge links that enable gauge invariance, which are similar as that in NRQCD [23–25]. Because NRQCD LDMEs are defined by seemingly local operators, the requirement of gauge links is not so manifest and only found to be needed at NNLO level, but for SGDs, the gauge links are very clearly needed because they are defined by bilocal operators. With the definition of SGDs, short distance hard parts can be obtained order by order in perturbation theory by matching both sides of Eq. (1).

We note that phenomenological models that are similar, but not equivalent, to Eq. (1) already existed in the literature [44, 45]. An apparent difference is that, by expanding relative momentum of intermediate $Q\bar{Q}$ pair but keeping its invariant mass away from $2m_Q$, external Q and $\bar{Q}$ in hard parts are not on mass shell in Ref. [44]. In addition, SGF is based on full QCD which can be clearly seen from the operator definition in Eq. (2), while Refs. [44, 45] are based on NRQCD effective theory [46]. Finally, SGF is able to estimate and systematically improve theoretic uncertainties.

Proof of SGF is equivalent to the proof of NRQCD factorization to all orders in velocity expansion. The most dangerous diagram that may ruin the factorization is the elastic scattering between $Q\bar{Q}$ pair and hard jets by exchanging gluons [43], the kinematic region of these exchanged gluons are called "Glauber" region in literature. We find that IR divergence from the "Glauber" region at one-loop order is purely imaginary, which cancels with the contribution from its complex conjugate diagram [43]. Therefore, SGF is proven to hold at one-loop order. Having two-loop studies of NRQCD factorization [23–25], it is hopeful that SGF may also hold to two-loop order, which however still needs further examination.

Simplification—Although the factorization formula in Eq. (1) is rigorous and accurate, it is hard to use in practice because of its complication. Especially, determining many four dimensional nonperturbative functions by experimental data is almost impossible. Therefore, we will do further expansions to simplify the SGF formula, but keep its rigorousness untouched. All of our expansions are well motivated, and their convergences will be tested in the next section. Although we will only give explicit form of leading contribution for each expansion, higher order contributions can also be systematically worked out.

In the rest frame of H, SGDs in Eq. (1) have support only in the region $P^\mu_{\rm rest} = (M + O(\lambda^2/M), O(\lambda), O(\lambda), O(\lambda))$, where $\lambda \sim$ a few hundreds MeV $<<$ M is the typical energy of emitted gluons. Thus we can expand $O(\lambda)$ and $O(\lambda^2/M)$ terms in hard parts. In this expansion, although space components of $P^\mu_{\rm rest}$ are $O(\lambda)$, the convergence should be much better than usual λ expansion because $\vec{P}_{\rm rest}$ is integrated out symmetrically around the origin. The leading term in this expansion gives

$$(2\pi)^3 2P_H^0 \frac{d\sigma_H}{d^3P_H} \approx \sum_n \int dz\, d\hat{\sigma}_n(P_H/z) F_n^H(z), \quad (3)$$

where $z = \frac{m_H}{M}$, and

$$F_n^H(z) = \int \frac{d^4P}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(z - \sqrt{P_H^2/P^2}) F_n^H(P, P_H). \quad (4)$$

In this way, we significantly reduce the complication of input functions from four-dimensional to one-dimensional. We call Eq. (3) as SGF-1d and Eq. (1) as SGF-4d.

Note that a similar formula that relates momentum of χ_{cJ} to its decaying particle J/ψ, $p_{J/\psi} \approx \frac{m_{J/\psi}}{m_{\chi_{cJ}}} p_{\chi_{cJ}}$, was first introduced by us in Ref. [19], and latter also used in

other publications [37, 47, 48]. The approximation was found to be very good, with only 8% deviation from full results for both yield and polarization measurements [47]. We thus expect the approximation Eq.(3) to be also very good.

Furthermore, as hard gluon emissions are excluded from $F_n^H(z)$ and very soft gluon emissions are suppressed by phase space, $F_n^H(z)$ should peak around $z = z_n \sim 1 - O(\lambda/m_H)$. If the distribution of $F_n^H(z)$ is narrow enough, we can approximate it by $\delta(z - z_n)\,\langle \mathcal{O}_n^H \rangle$. Then the SGF becomes

$$(2\pi)^3 2P_H^0 \frac{d\sigma_H}{d^3P_H} \approx \sum_n d\hat{\sigma}_n(P_H/z_n)\langle \mathcal{O}_n^H \rangle, \qquad (5)$$

which we call SGF-0d. While this formula is as simple as NRQCD factorization, we will see later that the lowest order SGF-0d is a much better approximation of real physics than that of lowest order NRQCD.

In hard parts of all the above SGFs, there are at least two independent hard scales, m_Q and M. They are, however, approximately related, $M = 2m_Q + O(\lambda)$. We thus can further simplify hard parts by expanding m_Q around $M/2$. We will see that there is no large corrections in this expansion.

Up to now, we have given explicit formulas for quarkonium production in SGF-4d, -1d and -0d. For quarkonium decay, there are similar formulas.

The NRQCD factorization can be recovered by SGF-0d Eq. (5) by setting $z_n = 1$ and then expanding M around $2m_Q$ in hard parts. Both of the two operations are not preferred by us, because they will result in too large relativistic corrections.

J/ψ ***hadroproduction***—To test SGFs, we apply them to the J/ψ hadron production via gluon fragmentation. A charmonium H production cross section via gluon fragmentation is given by

$$d\sigma_H(p_T) = \int dx\, d\hat{\sigma}_g(p_T/x) D_{g\to H}(x), \qquad (6)$$

where $d\hat{\sigma}_g(p_T/x)$ is the well-known hard part that produces a gluon with transverse momentum p_T/x, and $D_{g\to H}(x)$ is the fragmentation function of a gluon to a H that will be determined in the following.

Using the SGF-4d Eq. (1), we obtain

$$D_{g\to H}^{4d}(x) = \sum_n \int \frac{dP^2}{2\pi}\frac{dy}{y} \hat{D}_n(y, P^2) \bar{F}_n^H(\frac{x}{y}, P^2), \qquad (7)$$

where

$$\bar{F}_n^H(\frac{x}{y}, P^2) = \int \frac{d^3P_H}{(2\pi)^3 2P_H^0} \delta(\frac{x}{y} - \frac{P_H^+}{P^+}) F_n^H(P, P_H), \qquad (8)$$

which only depends on x/y and P^2 because of Lorentz symmetry as well as boost invariance along "+" direction. Using the SGF-1d Eq. (3), we obtain

$$D_{g\to H}^{1d}(x) = \sum_n \int dz \hat{D}_n(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{m_H^2}{z^2})\, z\, F_n^H(z). \qquad (9)$$

In Eqs. (7) and (9), $\hat{D}_n(y, P^2)$ can be calculated perturbatively. Up to $O(\alpha_s)$, only $n = {}^3S_1^{[8]}$ channel has non-vanishing contribution [43]

$$\hat{D}^{(1)}_{{}^3S_1^{[8]}}(x, M^2) = \frac{\pi\alpha_s}{24 m_Q^3} \frac{\left(\frac{1+2\Delta}{3}\right)^2}{\Delta^5} \delta(1-x), \qquad (10)$$

with $\Delta = \frac{M}{2m_Q}$.

Finally, for the nonperturbative function $F_n^H(P, P_H)$, we simply employ an existing model in Ref. [44],

$$F^H_{{}^3S_1^{[8]}}(P, P_H) = a\, k^2 \exp(-\frac{k_0^2 + k^2}{\Lambda^2}), \qquad (11)$$

where $k = P - P_H$ is a time-like momentum with positive energy k_0. $\Lambda \sim m_Q v^2$ is an exponential cutoff, which we will choose 500MeV. As we are only interested in cross section ratio in the following, the value of a is irrelevant. We further set $m_Q = 1.55$GeV to study J/ψ production. We note that, our conclusions are in fact independent of these choices.



FIG. 1. Fragmentation functions calculated by SGFs. The overall normalization is arbitrary.

With these inputs in hand, fragmentation functions calculated by using different methods are shown in Fig. 1. In this figure, $D_{g\to H}^{4d}(x)$ and $D_{g\to H}^{1d}(x)$ are calculated by using the exact value of m_Q, while $D_{g\to H}^{1d^{(0)}}(x)$ is similar to $D_{g\to H}^{1d}(x)$ but calculated by setting $m_Q = M/2$ in Eq. (10). We find that fragmentation functions calculated by using SGF-4d and SGF-1d have different shapes, but they have the same accumulated values,

$$\int_0^1 dx\, D_{g\to H}^{4d}(x) = \int_0^1 dx\, D_{g\to H}^{1d}(x). \qquad (12)$$

This is because, SGF-4d and SGF-1d are equivalent for the integrated fragmentation function. The fragmentation function obtained by expanding m_Q to the lowest

order, $D^{1d^{(0)}}_{g\to H}(x)$, has only a small difference from the complete result $D^{1d}_{g\to H}(x)$.

We also find that $F^H_{^3S_1^{[8]}}(z)$ has almost the same shape as that of $D^{1d}_{g\to H}(x)$, which is δ-function-like. For cross section, we will find that SGF-0d with $z_0 = 0.86$ can well reproduce SGF-4d. To compare with NRQCD approach, we choose

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^H_n \rangle = \int dz F^H_n(z), \tag{13}$$

which is different from its value defined in $\overline{\rm MS}$ renormalization scheme.



FIG. 2. Ratio of J/ψ differential cross section at LHC calculated from different approaches over that calculated from SGF-4d. See text for details.

Using the above fragmentation functions, we can calculate J/ψ cross section based on Eq. (6). Let us assume that the cross section calculated by SGF-4d is "exact", and examine how good are the SGF-1d, SGF-0d, and NRQCD expansions. To this end, we show ratios of J/ψ differential cross section calculated by different methods over that calculated by SGF-4d in Fig. 2. We find that the SGF-1d result is very close to the "exact" value, with largest error less than 6%. This implies that, as expected, the SGF-1d expansion should be very good. By expanding m_Q to the lowest order, SGF-1d$^{(0)}$ also provides a good approximation, with deviation smaller than 10%. The SGF-0d can well reproduce SGF-4d if we choose $z_0 = 0.86$, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, we expect that the convergence of velocity expansion in SGF is good in general.

On the contrary, the lowest order NRQCD result is larger than the "exact" value by more than a factor of 4. There are two main sources for the large deviation. One comes from the hard part of Eq. (6), which has an approximate scaling behavior $d\hat{\sigma}_g(p_T/x) \sim (p_T/x)^{-4}$ with average value of x being around 0.86. Yet, by ignoring soft gluons emission, NRQCD approximates $x \approx 1$, which enhances the total result by almost a factor of 2. The other one comes from Eq. (10). By ignoring soft gluons emission and then expand M around $2m_Q$, NRQCD approximates $\Delta \approx \frac{m_{J/\psi}/0.86}{2m_Q} \approx 1.16$ by 1, which enhance the total result by another factor of 2. Roughly speaking, the lowest order NRQCD approximates $0.86^9 \approx 1/4 \sim (1 - v^2/2)^9$ by 1 in this problem, which is hard to be recovered by traditional relativistic correction in NRQCD [49]. Probably, the velocity expansion in NRQCD is too bad to apply for charmonium production at hadron colliders.

Summary and outlook—In this paper, we propose a soft gluon factorization (SGF) theory to describe quarkonium production and decay, which is based on full QCD but not NRQCD effective theory[46]. The SGF, on the one hand, is as rigorous as NRQCD factorization, and on the other hand has a good convergence in velocity expansion, so the lowest order approximation may capture most important physics. Especially, the SGF-1d Eq. (3), which is simple enough for phenomenological studies, is a very good approximation of full SGF-4d Eq. (1).

Whereas for NRQCD factorization, we find that the lowest order result in velocity expansion can deviate from the full result by more than a factor of 4, mainly due to ignoring soft gluon emission. With so large deviation, it is not surprised that the NRQCD calculation faces many difficulties. The universality problem may be due to the fact that relativistic effects are different in different processes. As shapes of, e.g., differential cross sections in various channels can be changed in different ways by large relativistic effects, fitting LDMEs with lowest order NRQCD is not reliable, which may cause the hierarchy problem. Moreover, considering the large relativistic effect on yield, we may also expect significant effect on polarization, which may alter the transverse polarization of $^3S_1^{[8]}$ channel. Hopefully, all these difficulties may be resolved or relieved in the SGF framework with well controlled relativistic corrections. Further examinations should be performed on the above expectations.

Certainly, there are still lots of work to do. The most important thing is to prove the SGF to all orders in perturbation theory. A complete proof at two-loop level is also very helpful. Thanks to Eq. (3) and the proposal of expanding m_Q around $M/2$, the complexity of SGF in phenomenological study should be similar to that of NRQCD factorization, which makes the work much easier.

We thank C. Meng, J.W. Qiu, K. Wang, F. Yuan, H. Zhang and Y.J. Zhang for many useful communications and discussions. In particular, we thank J.Z. Li for very helpful discussions in the earlier stage of this work. The work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11475005 and No. 11075002).

[1] S. Ellis, M. B. Einhorn, and C. Quigg, *Comment on Hadronic Production of Psions*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **36** (1976) 1263 [InSPIRE].

[2] C. Carlson and R. Suaya, *Hadronic Production of ψ/J Mesons*, *Phys.Rev.* **D14** (1976) 3115 [InSPIRE].

[3] C.-H. Chang, *Hadronic Production of J/ψ Associated With a Gluon*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B172** (1980) 425–434 [InSPIRE].

[4] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, and G. P. Lepage, *Rigorous QCD analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium*, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 1125–1171 [hep-ph/9407339] [InSPIRE]. [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D55,5853(1997)].

[5] H. Fritzsch, *Producing Heavy Quark Flavors in Hadronic Collisions: A Test of Quantum Chromodynamics*, *Phys.Lett.* **B67** (1977) 217 [InSPIRE].

[6] F. Halzen, *Cvc for Gluons and Hadroproduction of Quark Flavors*, *Phys.Lett.* **B69** (1977) 105 [InSPIRE].

[7] Z.-B. Kang, J.-W. Qiu, and G. Sterman, *Heavy quarkonium production and polarization*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 102002 [arXiv:1109.1520] [InSPIRE].

[8] S. Fleming, A. K. Leibovich, T. Mehen, and I. Z. Rothstein, *The Systematics of Quarkonium Production at the LHC and Double Parton Fragmentation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 094012 [arXiv:1207.2578] [InSPIRE].

[9] Z.-B. Kang, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, and G. Sterman, *Heavy quarkonium production at collider energies: Factorization and Evolution*, *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014) 034006 [arXiv:1401.0923] [InSPIRE].

[10] E. Braaten and S. Fleming, *Color octet fragmentation and the ψ' surplus at the Tevatron*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **74** (1995) 3327–3330 [hep-ph/9411365] [InSPIRE].

[11] C. G. Boyd, A. K. Leibovich, and I. Rothstein, *$J\psi$ production at LEP: Revisited and resummed*, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 054016 [hep-ph/9810364] [InSPIRE].

[12] M. Kramer, *Quarkonium production at high-energy colliders*, *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **47** (2001) 141–201 [hep-ph/0106120] [InSPIRE].

[13] M. Klasen, B. A. Kniehl, L. Mihaila, and M. Steinhauser, *Evidence for color octet mechanism from CERN LEP-2 $\gamma\gamma \to J/\psi + X$ data*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **89** (2002) 032001 [hep-ph/0112259] [InSPIRE].

[14] Y.-J. Zhang and K.-T. Chao, *Double charm production $e^+e^- \to J/\psi + c\bar{c}$ at B factories with next-to-leading order QCD correction*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **98** (2007) 092003 [hep-ph/0611086] [InSPIRE].

[15] J. Lansberg, *On the mechanisms of heavy-quarkonium hadroproduction*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C61** (2009) 693–703 [arXiv:0811.4005] [InSPIRE].

[16] Y.-Q. Ma, Y.-J. Zhang, and K.-T. Chao, *QCD correction to $e^+e^- \to J/\psi + g + g$ at B Factories*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102** (2009) 162002 [arXiv:0812.5106] [InSPIRE].

[17] B. Gong and J.-X. Wang, *Next-to-Leading-Order QCD Corrections to $e^+e^- \to J/\psi + g + g$ at the B Factories*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102** (2009) 162003 [arXiv:0901.0117] [InSPIRE].

[18] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, *Complete next-to-leading-order corrections to J/ψ photoproduction in nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **104** (2010) 072001 [arXiv:0909.2798] [InSPIRE].

[19] Y.-Q. Ma, K. Wang, and K.-T. Chao, *QCD radiative corrections to χ_{cJ} production at hadron colliders*, *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 111503 [arXiv:1002.3987] [InSPIRE].

[20] Y.-Q. Ma, K. Wang, and K.-T. Chao, *$J/\psi(\psi')$ production at the Tevatron and LHC at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4 v^4)$ in nonrelativistic QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106** (2011) 042002 [arXiv:1009.3655] [InSPIRE].

[21] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, *Reconciling J/ψ production at HERA, RHIC, Tevatron, and LHC with NRQCD factorization at next-to-leading order*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106** (2011) 022003 [arXiv:1009.5662] [InSPIRE].

[22] Y.-Q. Ma and R. Venugopalan, *Comprehensive Description of J/ψ Production in Proton-Proton Collisions at Collider Energies*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** (2014) 192301 [arXiv:1408.4075] [InSPIRE].

[23] G. C. Nayak, J.-W. Qiu, and G. Sterman, *Fragmentation, NRQCD and NNLO factorization analysis in heavy quarkonium production*, *Phys.Rev.* **D72** (2005) 114012 [hep-ph/0509021] [InSPIRE].

[24] G. C. Nayak, J.-W. Qiu, and G. Sterman, *Fragmentation, factorization and infrared poles in heavy quarkonium production*, *Phys.Lett.* **B613** (2005) 45–51 [hep-ph/0501235] [InSPIRE].

[25] G. C. Nayak, J.-W. Qiu, and G. Sterman, *NRQCD Factorization and Velocity-dependence of NNLO Poles in Heavy Quarkonium Production*, *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 074007 [hep-ph/0608066] [InSPIRE].

[26] P. L. Cho and M. B. Wise, *Spin symmetry predictions for heavy quarkonia alignment*, *Phys. Lett.* **B346** (1995) 129–136 [hep-ph/9411303] [InSPIRE].

[27] M. Beneke and I. Z. Rothstein, *Psi-prime polarization as a test of color octet quarkonium production*, *Phys. Lett.* **B372** (1996) 157–164 [hep-ph/9509375] [InSPIRE]. [Erratum: Phys. Lett.B389,769(1996)].

[28] E. Braaten, B. A. Kniehl, and J. Lee, *Polarization of prompt J/ψ at the Tevatron*, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 094005 [hep-ph/9911436] [InSPIRE].

[29] **CDF Collaboration** , A. Abulencia *et al.*, *Polarization of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ mesons produced in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.96-TeV*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **99** (2007) 132001 [arXiv:0704.0638] [InSPIRE].

[30] **ALICE Collaboration** , B. Abelev *et al.*, *J/ψ polarization in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 082001 [arXiv:1111.1630] [InSPIRE].

[31] **LHCb Collaboration** , R. Aaij *et al.*, *Measurement of J/ψ polarization in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C73** (2013) 2631 [arXiv:1307.6379] [InSPIRE].

[32] **CMS Collaboration** , S. Chatrchyan *et al.*,

Measurement of the prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ polarizations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *Phys.Lett.* **B727** (2013) 381–402 [arXiv:1307.6070] [InSPIRE].

[33] **CMS Collaboration** , S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Measurement of the Y(1S), Y(2S) and Y(3S) polarizations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 081802 [arXiv:1209.2922] [InSPIRE].

[34] B. Gong and J.-X. Wang, *QCD corrections to polarization of J/ψ and Υ at Tevatron and LHC*, *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 074011 [arXiv:0805.2469] [InSPIRE].

[35] M. Butenschoen and B. A. Kniehl, *J/ψ polarization at Tevatron and LHC: Nonrelativistic-QCD factorization at the crossroads*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 172002 [arXiv:1201.1872] [InSPIRE].

[36] K.-T. Chao, Y.-Q. Ma, H.-S. Shao, K. Wang, and Y.-J. Zhang, *J/ψ Polarization at Hadron Colliders in Nonrelativistic QCD*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **108** (2012) 242004 [arXiv:1201.2675] [InSPIRE].

[37] B. Gong, L.-P. Wan, J.-X. Wang, and H.-F. Zhang, *Polarization for Prompt J/ψ, $\psi(2S)$ production at the Tevatron and LHC*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) 042002 [arXiv:1205.6682] [InSPIRE].

[38] G. T. Bodwin, H. S. Chung, U.-R. Kim, and J. Lee, *Fragmentation contributions to J/ψ production at the Tevatron and the LHC*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** (2014) 022001 [arXiv:1403.3612] [InSPIRE].

[39] P. Faccioli, V. Knunz, C. Lourenco, J. Seixas, and H. K. Wohri, *Quarkonium production in the LHC era: a polarized perspective*, *Phys.Lett.* **B736** (2014) 98–109 [arXiv:1403.3970] [InSPIRE].

[40] H. Han, Y.-Q. Ma, C. Meng, H.-S. Shao, Y.-J. Zhang, and K.-T. Chao, *$\Upsilon(nS)$ and $\chi_b(nP)$ production at hadron colliders in nonrelativistic QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016) 014028 [arXiv:1410.8537] [InSPIRE].

[41] H.-S. Shao, H. Han, Y.-Q. Ma, C. Meng, Y.-J. Zhang, K.-T. Chao, *Yields and polarizations of prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production in hadronic collisions*, JHEP 1505 (2015) 103 [arXiv:1411.3300] [InSPIRE].

[42] Y.-J. Zhang, Y.-Q. Ma, K. Wang, and K.-T. Chao, *QCD radiative correction to color-octet J/ψ inclusive production at B Factories*, *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 034015 [arXiv:0911.2166] [InSPIRE].

[43] Y.-Q. Ma and K.-T. Chao *In preparation* .

[44] M. Beneke, G. A. Schuler, and S. Wolf, *Quarkonium momentum distributions in photoproduction and B decay*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 034004 [hep-ph/0001062] [InSPIRE].

[45] M. Beneke, I. Z. Rothstein, and M. B. Wise, *Kinematic enhancement of nonperturbative corrections to quarkonium production*, *Phys. Lett.* **B408** (1997) 373–380 [hep-ph/9705286] [InSPIRE].

[46] W. Caswell and G. Lepage, *Effective Lagrangians for Bound State Problems in QED, QCD, and Other Field Theories*, *Phys.Lett.* **B167** (1986) 437 [InSPIRE].

[47] G. T. Bodwin, K.-T. Chao, H. S. Chung, U.-R. Kim, J. Lee, and Y.-Q. Ma, *Fragmentation contributions to hadroproduction of prompt J/ψ , χ_{cJ} , and $\psi(2S)$ states*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 034041 [arXiv:1509.07904] [InSPIRE].

[48] Y.-Q. Ma and R. Vogt, *Quarkonium Production in an Improved Color Evaporation Model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016) 114029 [arXiv:1609.06042] [InSPIRE].

[49] G.-Z. Xu, Y.-J. Li, K.-Y. Liu, and Y.-J. Zhang, *Relativistic Correction to Color Octet J/ψ Production at Hadron Colliders*, *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 094017 [arXiv:1203.0207] [InSPIRE].